In Canada, elders aged 65 and over has reached 17.2% of its total population. Around 7% of the Canadian seniors are living in a collective dwelling facility, while the rest are residing at their respective private homes. Considering many older elders are with chronic illnesses and/or disability, caregiving burden to their families can be significant. In fact, caregiving has become a national hot topic in Canada in recent years, because the nation’s high life-expectancy and its rapid aging trend have been driving the eldercare demand.

Many Canadians are making private arrangements to care for their spouse, parents, or grandparents. Such private arrangements include take time off from work and retire early, which is causing the loss of national productivity and population health.

The objective of this study is to examine the issues relating to family caregivers’ eldercare burden and explores a societal and long-term solution for reducing informal caregiver burden in Canada. Particularly, learn the common and specific problem areas that affect informal caregivers and their families’ quality of life, and how caregiving burden on the families are affecting Canada’s national economy. It explores the caregiving needs and challenges in both institutions and private homes through learning lived experiences of informal caregivers and eldercare centre staff members. Since the fieldwork of this study was conducted prior the global COVID-19 pandemic, it also shed lights on why so many long-term care homes were unable to protect their residents from being infected by the virus.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Aging and Informal Caregiving Burden in Canada

17.2 percent of Canada’s population was aged 65 and older on 1 July 2018 [1]. The life expectancy in Canada has reached 82.05 years in 2019 [2]. As the Canadian population gets older, it puts greater pressure on its healthcare system, pension system, and eldercare system. There is an estimate that approximately 10.4 million of Canadians will become senior citizens by 2036 [3]. Fig. 1 shows the aging trend of Canada, which indicates the percentage of elder population will grow rapidly.

Thus, family caregiving is a major social issue in Canada. More than eight million Canadians have been providing care to a family member who is disabled or chronically ill [5].

Fig. 1. Canada’s aging trends [4].
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Nearly 46% of Canadians aged 15 and older have been providing care to their family members. This number is expected to increase considerably since the proportion of Canadians who require care is forecasted to double over the next three decades [6], [7]. This upward trend is troubling given the extensive commitment of time, financial resources, psycho-emotional and physical investment that is required of caregivers [8].

There is a high prevalence of dementia and other illnesses that require intensive care among seniors in long-term care homes; and the prevalence rate of chronic conditions of long-term care residents is also high (see Table I). Both of which indicate how much care demand is there among the elders. However, there is a severe staff shortage in institutional care settings; thus, informal caregivers are increasingly providing caregiving duties to fill the service gap [10], [11]. Family members’ increased participation resulted positive effects in staff burnout and residents’ wellbeing, but there are also shortfalls and challenges [12].

Caregiving involves much sacrifice on family caregivers’ part. It impacts caregivers’ lives in multiple aspects, namely, physical, emotional, financial, and social. Many Canadians engaged in providing some aspect of informal care for a family member with chronic illnesses or disability. The intensity of care has a greater impact on the caregivers’ health [13]-[16]. Therefore, caregivers experience higher than normal levels of stress, which can lead to mental, physical, emotional, and financial health costs; and more importantly, it affects their quality of life [13], [15].

B. Personal Support Workers in Canada

Personal support workers (PSWs) make up 73% of the home care workforce in Canada. “PSWs” means either educational qualifications or the caregiving role they perform. Unlike nurses and therapists, PSWs are unregulated workers that no one oversees their ongoing professional development [17]. In other words, PSWs are mostly defined by their caregiving role.

PSWs are providing both physical and emotional services to elders. However, most of these workers’ working conditions are not reasonable. Namely, a) heavy workload, b) high risk of injury, c) lack of respect, d) low pay, and f) part-time or hourly based job. The precarious nature of their employment also made the current PSWs workforce unstable [18], [19]. They are predominantly women, and immigrant and racialized minorities are overrepresented in the sector [20], notable large groups include Filipinos and Black people [21]. Indeed, the LTC sector is very much feminized, and it employs predominately racialized workers, “Home care PSWs often provide physical care in isolated settings with no in-person supervision. In home and community health care, complaints about PSWs can be scattered among different service providers or client files not linked to or searchable by PSW name” [22]. An Ontario study found staff shortage is the underlie cause of sickness absenteeism among nurses and PSWs [23].

The Personal Support Network of Ontario estimates that while 7,000 PSWs are trained annually, 9,000 leave the PSWs workforce [24]. The Canadian Research Network for Care in the Community estimates that 45% of the PSWs (i.e., the group aged 50-59 years and the group aged 60+ years) may retire within the next 15 years; and predicts there may be an imminent PSWs human resource deficit, likely accompanied by a knowledge and experience drain as long-serving PSWs leave the workforce over the next 15 years [25].

COVID-19 pandemic exposed the otherwise hidden crisis in various elderly collective dwelling settings: severe caregiver staff shortage and substandard services. Mainstream media outlets’ report of COVID-19 cases and death made aware that it is necessary to have “policy measures to ensure the adequate staffing, the limitation of movement of healthcare workers between multiple sites, access to personal protective equipment and ensuring that staff know how to use it properly are key in helping to prevent the continued spread of COVID-19 and associated mortality in Canadian long-term care home residents” [26]. In which, care staff is the key. “Adequate staffing” points out the severe shortage of caregivers in these settings; “limitation of movement of healthcare workers between multiple sites” states a fact that most PSWs are parttime or hourly-based workers that they have to work at different facilities each day to make up a fulltime job’s wage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>with dementia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe cognitive impairment (Cognitive Performance Scale ≥2)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any responsive behaviours (Aggressive Behaviour Scale ≥1)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs of depression (Depression Rating Scale ≥3)</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependence in ADLs (Activities of Daily Living Hierarchy Scale ≥3)</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some indication of health instability (Changes in Health, End-Stage Disease, and Signs and Symptoms Scale ≥1)</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandered at least once in the last 7 days</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were admitted to hospital at least once in the last 90 days</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had visited emergency room at least once in the last 90 days</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Deaths from residents in long-term care and retirement homes as a proportion of the total number of deaths in each province or territory (as of June 1, 2020) [26].

C. The Cost of Informal Caregiving to the Canadian Economy

Three-quarters of informal caregivers in Canada were employed while providing care, which account for 35% of total employed Canadians [15]. For some caregivers caring duties became equivalent to full-time employment, with one in ten indicating that caregiving duties accounted for 30 or more hours per week [8]. And 43% of caregivers reported providing care to multiple family members or friends at one time [6], [8], [16]. According to the General Social Survey [27],
Canadian seniors received an average of 21.9 hours per week of unpaid care; almost 55% of informal caregivers who had provided over 20 hours or more of care per week had experienced work disruptions, and this cohort of caregivers had the highest rate of work disruptions and accommodations [16]. For the projected 11.6 million unpaid caregivers to offer a similar level of care from 2011 to seniors in 2046, it is estimated that the informal caregivers will have to contribute over 2.6 billion hours of unpaid work [28].

Among caregivers, about 50% of them are caring for their parents or parents-in-law. Since 44% of caregivers are between 45 to 64 years of age, they are in the prime of their careers [29]. Approximately 30 percent of caregivers for elders took time off work at 450 working hours per year, or about 8.5 hours per week, in average. Employers across Canada lose an estimated $5.5 billion annually in lost productivity due to caregiving-related absenteeism [6], [30]. Some scholars [31] suggest that caregivers are 25% more likely to retire early. On an average, they retire 8 years earlier than their non-caregiver counterparts. Scholars have found the relationship between caregiving and paid employment is substantial. Their studies show that the economic burden that emerges from caregiving causes employees lose income and/or their jobs; while businesses lose productivity and a significant share of their workforce [16], [28], [31].

According to the Canadian Life and Health Insurance Association [32] the cost to support long-term care for the baby boomers is $1.2 trillion in the next 35 years. Current government’s funding can only cover approximately half this cost. This gap would likely to be filled by unpaid caregivers [33].

Caregiving is indeed impossible to estimate. Therefore, informal caregiving burden is an important issue for us to tackle. It matters to our socioeconomic development and the health and quality of lives of tens of millions, if not all.

III. Methodology

Research ethics: all participants of the project were provided the project’s information letter and signed their consent form before they took part in the project. This study received the University of Waterloo’s ethics review clearance (#22276). The design of the project and all of its data collection instruments had undergone its Office of Research’s rigorous review.

A. Setting

The case site is Toronto Gerontological Centre (TGC, coded name). It is a not-for-profit eldercare institution with over eight hundred long-term care beds and various community support services for over fifteen thousand seniors annually. The TGC has multiple outlets in the great Toronto area.

B. Data Collection

This study employed a mixed method strategy, with both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods. In the qualitative research part, the main data collection methods were face to face interviews, and telephone follow-up interviews, and focus group discussions. The quantitative method used was questionnaire surveys.

C. Sampling Method

This study used stratified purposive sampling method coupled with voluntary principle in recruiting participants and respondents.

1) Qualitative Data Collection

Interview: N = 38. Participants:

- Elders – Adult Day Program participants and community dwelling elders
- Family caregivers – family member provide care to their spouse or parents in long-term care and/or as Adult Day Program participants
- Staff – staff members with different responsibilities.
- Managers
- Volunteers

Focus group discussion: 8 groups, N=88. Participants:

- Family caregivers
- Adult Day Program participants
- Staff and managers
- Community dwelling elders
- Volunteers

Voice recording and transcription: Participants were asked whether they agree to have their voices recorded prior to interview and focus group discussions. All recruited participants agreed and signed their consent forms. Therefore, a recording device was used during each interview and focus group discussion. These recordings were transcribed to notes for analysis and writing up study report.

2) Quantitative Data Collection

Questionnaire survey: N = 84

Respondents: TGC independent living tenants and community residing elders.
Main variables: Daily life assistance needs, transportation-seeing doctors, cutting grass, shovel snow, meals, cleaning home, transportation-shopping.

The above-mentioned first-hand data collection has been analyzed with the following research questions:

1. What is the current informal caregiving burden in Canada in relation to aging? What is such caregiving burden’s impact on informal caregivers’ lives?
2. Does TGC’s long-term care services meet the needs of its senior residents? If not, how would the service gaps filled? Would the residents’ families feel obligated to volunteer their time and energy there?
3. Would working age informal caregivers’ caregiving duties interrupt their employment and professional career? Do such interruptions mean the loss of productivity to national economy? How to address the issue and promote a healthy economy?

IV. FINDINGS’ DISCUSSIONS

Long-term care homes in Canada are relying on informal caregivers to care for the residents. Informal caregivers provide "account for up to 30% of care including feeding, washing, toileting, social, emotional and memory support, and mobilization" [10]. Thus, many Canadians sacrifice their mental health, quality of life, as well as job and career when performing their caregiving duties.

This study found there is a severe shortage of formal or trained caregivers, which causing reduced quality of care and relying on family caregivers for unmet needs of the residents; care for a loved one reduces the family caregivers’ quality of life; providing care to a loved one would cost informal caregivers’ health; informal caregivers’ employment and career disruptions is a cost to productivity in national economy; elders’ care needs require a sustainable strategy; and the government pays less in eldercare, but it costs the nation more.

1) There is a severe shortage of formal or trained caregivers, which causing reduced quality of care and relying on family caregivers for unmet needs of the residents

The shortage of professional caregivers in long-term care homes made caregivers’ caregiving burden particularly heavy. Meanwhile, unmet care hurts elder residents’ health.

Following are some participants’ words that reflect the reality they face:

"About one staff for eight patients. In the evening, 2 PSWs take care of 32 patients. During the day, the fifth floor has more staff than the other floors. Usually there are 2.5 staff on each floor. During busy times, there will be an extra staff, but when it calms down, that staff will leave. So, it's really busy." - A son

"I found the most common complaints of the families is the caregivers didn’t change diapers soon enough and often enough. One staff has to care for more than 6 elders here, they usually check the elders’ diaper every two hours." – A daughter

"Since April (to 8 December), my husband has fell 7 times. “He’s been messing up with food. He’d fill the spoon with food and drop it. We have to feed him. I come here every day. It’s about 2 hours one way travel time for me to come to see my husband. Today, I left home at 8:30 in the morning, and arrived here at 10:30." – A wife

"He’s got bedsore now. We hope he could sleep side ways. He fell often. My daughters and I come to TGC during the weekends to help him to stand and do some body movement."

- A wife

"Yesterday afternoon my daughter was here. She said she waited for more than an hour after she requested staff for changing her father’s diaper. After the staff came and changed the diaper, she wanted to put him to bed immediately, even though it was too early for him to go to bed."

- A wife

"When the elders just checked in to the long-term care unit here, they were fine and can do a lot by themselves. But their health would deteriorate over time, and they needed more assistance in daily life."

- A wife

"My husband used to be able to walk. After he began living in the long-term care residence, his physical condition deteriorated rapidly and lost ability to walk. He is using a wheelchair now."

- A wife

"They put my husband in a wheelchair upon seeing his difficulty in walking. They also told us to not get him up and walk around because he would be falling down from time to time. They suggested that his food be changed upon noticing his problems in swallowing food, to avoid choking. As his family, I hope that he can have a quality life as much as possible, so when he can still eat and chew food, I hope we can let him eat and chew food. But I know sooner or later he’ll lose that ability. However, I still hope to delay it as much as possible. I don’t have much else to hope for."

- A wife

"There are not enough caregivers. It’s one staff for 8 people during daytime, and 2.5 staff for 32 residents overnight. 5th floor has 4 staff, which is more than other floors. They can’t take care of so many people."

- A son

"Generally speaking, 70% of our residents were assessed with moderate to very severe cognitive impairment. Similarly, 68% of our residents has a level of social engagement below 4 on the scale of 0 to 6."

- A LTC staff

Providing care to residents with high prevalence rate of dementia makes caregiving work more difficult and challenging. With the shortage of PSWs, the workload would be particularly heavy for each PSW. Unmet care needs would cause resident’s health deteriorating and experience high risk of injury, which would cause otherwise unnecessary utilization of healthcare. Thus, hire less than enough PSWs can cost a lot more to the nation.

Shortage of caregivers ➔ substandard care ➔ declining of elders’ health ➔ more healthcare cost

2) Care for a loved one reduces the family caregivers’ quality of life

One person’s long-term illness can make their entire family’s lives difficult. Many primary family caregivers ignored their own health conditions and sacrificed their normal lives for their caregiving duties. One gentleman in his eighties takes care of his wife with dementia that he has not had a night long sleep for many years, because “She would get rid of blanket from time to time, I have to check nearly hour by hour to make sure that she is covered by the blanket”. “I have been taking care of my wife for a very long time. She’s got dementia. I care for her eat, drink, sleep and everything else.” Another caregiver who provides care to her husband in the long-term care said: “I’m actually quite busy. I need to take
care of my three grandsons. I have a busy schedule. I get up at 6am, prepare their breakfast, and I go swimming for an hour after they go to school. When I get home, it’s about 10 o’clock. I eat breakfast. Before 11 o’clock, I come here to chat and watch TV with my husband. Then I help him have lunch. After lunch, I clean his denture, wash his face, then I go back home around 1pm. I go grocery shopping and drop off my grandson at the place where he volunteers. Then I need to pick up my other grandsons around 5pm and prepare their dinner. And my daughter would go to help her father with dinner and help him go to bed.”

Both caregivers were quite emotional when sharing their daily life experiences. It was obvious that they care about their spouses’ wellbeing deeply and feel helpless. They tradeoff their own quality-of-life to care for their loved ones. Since they are in 80s and 70s respectively, their heavy caregiving burden is likely to cause them health issues, mentally and physically. Consequently, they would need medical attention themselves, and more healthcare expenditure will be spent on top of their unnecessary suffering. They truly need effective support, immediately.

3) Providing care to a loved one would harm informal caregivers’ health

Many family caregivers sacrificed their own health in the process of caring for their loved ones in Canada. 46% of aged 15 and over have provided care to a loved one. 57% of informal caregivers are women, and more than 40% of caregivers experienced depression [34].

An informal caregiver in her 70s shared her experience as career to her husband with dementia. She hurt her back severely in the process and had to be hospitalized, which was the “last straw” for her to finally arrange for her husband to be a resident of the TGC long term care home. However, the shortage of PSWs at the TGC kept her busy. Like other family caregivers, she has to visit the long-term care home daily to help her husband eat meals, assist him for other daily needs. She said:

“Because I needed to support him to move around, to go to the toilet, or to prevent him from falling, I hurt my spine and the muscles around it. It was very painful. I had to ask my daughters and my grandson to take days off from work and school and look after us, especially to make sure that my husband didn’t leave home and get lost. ...Then we joined the TGC’s day program, but his condition deteriorated steadily, and my spine problem got worse – it became so painful that I couldn’t move at all for two to three weeks. Because of how bad our situation was at the time; we were asked if we would like to move him into TGC’s LTC. ...If I had continued to take care of him at home, I might have already passed away.” – A wife

“I attend to him every day for lunch, and my daughters take turns to take care of his dinner. When he has food, he keeps putting more in his mouth without swallowing any. Every day in the morning around 10:30am, I come to help him have lunch and then clean his dentures. In the evening my daughters come here in turns to help him with dinner and give him a foot bath and massage.”

This family caregiver’s story shows that caring for someone at home is a heavy-duty task. It can cause harm to the caregiver. This story also shows that the long-term care home does not have enough professional caregivers that provide appropriate care to its residents. In order to meet the care needs of their loved ones, many family caregivers would have to provide their assistances at long-term care facilities, especially during the mealtimes. In other words, although elder-care facility is helpful to family caregivers when compared to taking care of their loved ones at home, the caregiving burden is not lifted entirely from them. Considering a lot of family caregivers have been providing care for a long time, their physical and mental health status tend to decline, which is another reason that shortage of professional caregivers would increase healthcare expenditure of the nation.

High intensity of work
High injury & Illness risk

Shortage of caregivers → low quality of care → to elders and caregivers → more healthcare cost

4) Informal caregivers’ employment and career disruptions is a cost to productivity in national economy

The shortage of caregivers affects many working age family caregivers’ employment and career development. Facing immediate family caregiving duties, some of them have to take early retirement, some have to take time off from work regularly. For example:

“My parents have been living in the long-term care for 5 years. I retired 3 years ago to take care of them. This place doesn’t have enough people caring the elders there: 4-5 people for 30 elders at one floor, or 6 elders per personal support workers. When my parents needed something, they can’t get it in time. I think they have not enough fund for hiring more personal support workers but more elders are here now. Elders even have to wait for going to washroom.” – A son

“I took an early retirement after my parents checked into the long-term care here. Usually I’d come here at 11am, I’d help my parents with their lunch at noon, and stay with them until 1pm when they go to taking a nap. Then, I’d help their dinner at 5pm. I’d stay there until 7pm when they go to bed. Both my sister and I take turns to care for our parents. We also help the elders at our parents’ table to eat.” – A daughter

“I took an early retirement after my parents coming here. There are a lot need us to do since there are not enough caregivers. They need my help for small things like getting water, going to washroom, and eat meals. I also help other elders who do not have family around. It’s been many years.” – A son

“My mother is a superwoman. She has been taking care of my father for years while still go to work. Sometimes she has to leave work early or go to work late. It’s quite costly to her career. Now I understand why she is always in a bad mood.” – A daughter (her mother is the primary family caregiver)

These family caregivers’ real-life struggles have become norm in many households in Canada. They are gagbling between their personal career at paid work and their caregiving duties for their loved ones at home or at long-term care home. Since no one can replace them with private responsibilities, most of them have to sacrifice their career if they are employed [35], [36].

Early retirement/High work absence for family caregiving responsibilities → costing national economy
In Canada, about 93 percent elders are living in their own private homes [37]. In order to improve this population’s quality of life, we need to develop a national strategy. This study’s questionnaire survey learned what are the main assistance the community dwelling elders’ need for their daily lives (Table II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community elders</th>
<th>Daily life assistance needs</th>
<th>Elders’ building tenants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transportation-seeing doctors</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cutting grass</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shovel snow</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Meals</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cleaning home</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Transportation-shopping</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shower</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1 – 7 is ranked from most to least number of persons’ choices among the respondents. Same ranking number means a same percentage of respondents selected the item.

The elders from a city with 110 thousand population in Ontario found they need daily life assistance in the areas of transportation-seeing doctors, cutting grass, shovel snow, meals, cleaning home, transportation-shopping, and shower. While the elder tenants in a collective dwelling community responded in a similar way, but with slightly different need. For example, it is understandable that the group residing in apartment buildings have less people found they require assistance for shovel snow and cutting grass.

These findings show that there is a need to develop accessible and affordable services in these areas. A previous study also found that the tenants at an active elder adults’ community long for on-site medical care, on-site social workers, and subsidized meals [37]. This means certain services are urgently needed for meet many elders’ daily lives, and they can help the seniors living at their private homes longer. They can also support family caregivers with reduced caregiving burdens.

6) Currently, the government pays less in eldercare, but it costs the nation more

Informal caregivers’ reduced hours at workplaces cost our national economy around $5.5 Billion annually [38]. When there is an apparent funding shortage on long-term care and other eldercare facilities from the government, Canadians and the entire nation is paying a cost. Like prior studies have found, while informal caregiving is affecting individuals and families with financial and other difficulties in life, it also has implications to broader national economy [15], [28], [31]. The rate of seniors who require care in Canada is projected to increase to over 3.3 million by 2046, and it is expected that approximately 11.6 million unpaid caregivers will be required to meet the needs of the elders [28]. For the projected 11.6 million unpaid caregivers to offer a similar level of care from 2011 to seniors in 2046, it is estimated that the informal caregivers will have to contribute over 2.6 billion hours of unpaid work [28]. Thus, there needs to be a sustainable strategy to tackle this pressing issue.

Fig. 4 is this study’s analytical framework, which shows the root cause of current long-term care and caregiving issues is lack of funding. It has been decades that the Canadian government has not paid enough attention to eldercare and caregiving pitfalls. The inadequate eldercare system reduced family caregivers’ opportunity to contribute to the national economy, increased healthcare cost of the nation, increased unnecessary suffering and death, and decreased quality of life of the elders and their family caregivers.

The COVID-19 pandemic outbreak in many long-term care homes and the high proportion of COVID-19 death among long-term care residents exposed Canadian government’s lack of care to its senior citizens. “Canada had the worst record among wealthy nations for COVID-19-related deaths in long-term care facilities for older people, many observers referred to it as a ‘national disgrace’. At that time, as the first wave of COVID-19 in Canada began to subside, its 2039 homes for older people accounted for about 80% of all COVID-19-related deaths.” [39].

The military Joint Task Force released a report on the conditions inside five long-term care facilities in Ontario after it was deployed to fight the humanitarian crisis in the long-term care homes. The 15-page report issued on 20 May 2020 highlighted that long-term care facilities were in “urgent and immediate need of personnel to provide humanitarian relief and medical support” [40]. The headlines of Canada’s major media on 26 May 2020 reads: “Ford faces blowback after military report reveals ‘horrific’ conditions at Ontario long-term care homes” (CBC); “Gut-wrenching” military report sheds light on grim conditions in Ontario nursing homes” (CTV); “The military’s report details the horrors of Ontario long-
term-care homes” (The Star); and “Ontario long-term care homes in scathing report could face charges, says Ford” (CBC).

Experts in Canada have called for the transformation of long-term care system: the “conditions of work for staff must be dramatically improved”, and “tens of thousands of new staff must be hired across the country”.

“Overcrowded living conditions for residents must also be dramatically improved”, and “better infection control and better medical care are also urgently needed” [39].

V. CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Canada’s eldercare system cannot meet the needs of its growing elder population. Severe resource constrains limited eldercare services’ quality and effectiveness. Thus, family caregivers have to fill the gaps, including take time off from work and retire early to care for their loved ones, which has cost our national productivity and overall economy dearly.

More community-based services are required for aging at home seniors. Beside seven percent of Canadian elders living inside of elder collective dwelling communities including long-term care homes, most elders are living in their respective homes. When the crisis at long-term care homes is out in the open due to the pandemic, attention should also be paid to those who rely on their family members or caregivers for assisting their daily lives. How to make aging at home easier in order to emancipate family caregivers’ time for a healthy national economy should be a priority of the government funding plan. Including provide long-term care homes with necessary financial support for them to hire sufficient number of caregivers.

Only when our long-term care homes stop relying on family caregivers, their quality of services can be trusted. Only when our employees do not need to take time off from work regularly to care for their loved ones, our nation’s economy would be healthy. Only when our seniors’ wellbeing became the responsibility of the entire society, this population group and their families’ quality of life can be ensured. Canadian government should work with relevant stakeholders in the following areas:

A. Investing in Age Friendly Community Development

Most elders prefer to age at home, in Canada as well as around the world. Enabling infrastructure include various services’ availability and accessibility are key to the aging populations’ quality of life. Some services are already in operation but need to be integrated into an effective network. By asking the following questions and act on the needs and gaps, the goal of age friendly community could be met.

a) What are the main services family/informal caregivers providing to their loved ones? Can these services be provided by others who are not a relative or family? Are there service stations providing assistances? What are the ways in which the service sector could be developed to meet the needs?

b) How social resources and available and/or potential technology can be integrated to assemble pathways to an age-friendly society? What would be an ideal network that can lift informal caregivers’ burdens and provide optimal service?

B. Developing Quality Personal Support Workers’ Training Programs

Quality train programs for PSWs shall include all techniques and relevant knowledge the occupation require. The train program shall include a coop term for the trainees to practice their skill set and test their competency. Such programs can supply the needed facilities with professional caregivers and improve the quality of care. PSWs’ training certificates and degrees can ensure the quality-of-care PSWs provide; and earn them the respect from the public they deserve. Government needs to support relevant educational institutions’ initiatives.

C. Improving Personal Support Workers’ Working Conditions

Making PSWs a respectable occupation can improve PSWs’ working condition, and their jobs’ security and full-time employment will be ensured. PSWs’ income should be regulated and with a reasonable level that is comparable to that of the nurses and other care workers. Like other professions, PSWs should also have the prospects of promotion with a bright career path.

D. Investing in Long-Term care and Other Elders’ Collective Dwelling Facilities

It is time to invest in aging related infrastructure, including support long-term care institutions. There needs a national standard for resident-PSWs ratio, equipment, and other necessary facilities. Encourage and enable eldercare sector to use technology and enabling devices in performing their care work and reduce workplace injury risks.

E. Accommodating Informal Caregivers with Work Flexibility

Before age friendly community arrives, informal caregiving burden is a reality many must face. Employers should care for their employees’ well-being by accommodating their hard needs. Instead of letting them retire early or take time off regularly from work, making flexibility a possibility for employees with caregiving roles can reduce productivity loss. Meanwhile, provide necessary tangible and intangible support for their overall wellbeing.

VI. LIMITATIONS

Firstly, the case setting, TGC, is an excellent and culturally competent eldercare center known to locals. This means the findings from this case is not representative of average level eldercare institutions. In other words, informal caregivers would have heavier burden if the elders they care for were residing at other eldercare facilities, their pains and sacrifices would be even more compelling.

Secondly, this study collected field data prior to the global pandemic. COVID-19 must have changed much practice in eldercare centers’ practice dramatically. Thus, a follow up study would capture post pandemic realities. This facility successfully safeguarded its elders from being infected with the deadly virus during the pandemic, which is the outcome of the dedication of its staff team and effective measures they created and implemented.
INFORMAL CAREGIVING IN CANADA

- 28% of Canadians (or 8.1 million) report having provided care to a family member or friend with a long-term health condition, disability, or aging need in the past year.
- 3/4 of family caregivers (or 6.1 million) were employed, accounting for 35% of all employed Canadians.
- 44% of employed caregivers report having missed an average 8 to 9 days of work in the past 12 months because of their caregiving responsibilities.
- 36% of young caregivers arrived to work late, left early or took off time due to their caregiving responsibilities.
- $5.5 billion in lost productivity due to caregiving-related absenteeism annually.
- Each year Canada loses the equivalent of nearly 558,000 full-time employees from the workforce due to the inability to manage the conflicting demands of paid work and care.
- 2.5 million caregivers report that they try to balance caregiving with paid employment.
- 30% caregivers for elders took time off work 450hrs/year, or about 8.5 hrs/week.
- 44% of them are between 45 to 64 years old and in the prime of their careers [6]. A Snapshot of Family Caregiving in Canada.

TERMINOLOGY

Caregiver: a person who provides assistance in meeting the daily needs of another person. Caregiver is often referred to as either “formal caregiver” or “informal caregiver”.

Formal caregiver: those caregivers who are paid for their services and have had training and education in providing care. This may include services from home health agencies and other trained professionals.

Informal caregiver, also called “family caregivers”, are people who give care to family or friends usually without payment. A caregiver gives care, generally in the home environment, for an aging parent, spouse, other relative, or unrelated person, or for an ill, or disabled person. These tasks may include transportation, grocery shopping, housework, preparing meals. Also giving assistance with getting dressed, getting out of bed, help with eating, and incontinence [41].
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