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ABSTRACT

Handover is a high-risk exercise. As the number of doctors in hospitals vary between shifts, continuity of care must be secured from many professionals down to a few. Literature has repeatedly shown that handover time is when the greatest number of medical errors occur [1]. Tasks may be inefficiently handover over, mis-represented or forgotten entirely. We have shared perspectives from a quality improvement (QI) project undertaken at the William Harvey Hospital, Kent, United Kingdom towards ensuring safe and smooth handover of medical tasks between shifts at the acute medical department in this hospital.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The British Medical Association (BMA) Junior Doctors Committee has provided doctors with astute guidelines: handover must have a designated time and place, have adequate supervision, and be aided with technologies as appropriate for the department [2]. NHS improvement committee further highlights what should be handed over, namely current inpatients with their risk level, location, status of their investigations/management and any proposed clinical management plans to be implemented during the shift [3].

II. HANDOVER AT THE WILLIAM HARVEY HOSPITAL

The medical ward cover evening shift takes place 5 pm – 9 pm on weekdays at the William Harvey Hospital. During this time, one SHO must cover at least 15 wards throughout the hospital. Day doctors leave the hospital 5-5:30 pm and must handover any outstanding jobs or sick patients over to the on-call SHO by means of the bleep system.

The high bleep burden incurred by doctors handing over was raised as an unsafe distraction by the SHO-led QIP team as this could hinder timely assessment of unwell patients out of hours. Furthermore, the handover itself via phone was felt to be of poor quality as the SHO would be constantly distracted by other bleeps.

As a result of this perceived need for change, a group of Senior House Officers (SHOs) were granted permission by the AMU MDM (Acute Medical Unit Multi-Disciplinary Meeting) to audit and make proposed changes to the 5 pm handover system.
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III. STRATEGY FOR CHANGE

See the proposed timeline for change below:

- Intervention trial period 5th-16th Oct 2020.
- Regular reminders to medics about changes to handover;
- Presentation of results 16th Oct 2020 at the Acute Medical Unit (AMU) multi-disciplinary meeting (MDM) where the decision was made to continue the new handover system based on positive survey feedback and positive effects on bleep frequency.
- Indirect monitoring of handover without active intervention by the QI team.

A. Methods

- Quantitative assessment of workload during 5-9 pm shift using bleep frequency. Assessed using tally charts filled in by the on-call SHO.
- Qualitative assessment of staff opinion using a “GoogleForms” survey. These were then used by the QIP team to formulate a handover intervention with feedback from the AMU MDM.

IV. INTERVENTIONS

See poster used to advertise change in handover below.

The interventions were five-fold:

- Staffing: formalize the RMO (Registered Medical Officer) role on ward cover so there are two doctors at handover and covering the wards after 5 pm.
- Designated handover time: Introduce a 5 pm- 5.30 pm face-to-face handover.
- Designated handover location with use of the Emergency Department Hub.

- Handover supervision: members of the QI team supervised handover among their peers during the 2-week trial period.
- All junior medics were made aware of changes with the use of WhatsApp, emails, posters and word-of-mouth.

V. RESULTS

Initial auditing pre-intervention found that the SHO was bleeped on average 9.4 times per hour, the equivalent to once every 6 minutes. This was echoed in survey feedback where the most common suggestion related to extra staff being needed to cover the shift and complained of interruption of work by regular bleeps.

With the new handover system, total bleep frequency halved from 30 to 15 while charting of bleeps per hour displayed a change to front-loading of jobs rather than consistently throughout the shift (Fig. 1). Survey feedback showed enthusiasm for the new handover system, in particular the ability to discuss patients effectively without distraction. Enthusiasm for the system change was reflected in the post-intervention compliance with handover of 93%, an improvement from the intervention period itself.

TABLE I: SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES COMPARING STUDY PHASES. NB: POST-INTERVENTION DATA UNAVAILABLE DUE TO POOR COMPLIANCE IN FILLING OUT BLEEP SHEET BY ON-CALL SHOs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Avg. No. Jobs handed over 5-5:30 pm</th>
<th>% compliance to new handover</th>
<th>Total bleep 5-9 pm</th>
<th>Avg. Bleep frequency per hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-intervention</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-intervention</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Pre-intervention compared to post-intervention bleep frequency.

Fig. 3. Showing pie chart describing preferred handover formats.
See other qualitative feedbacks on the new face-to-face handover model in the figure below:

![Qualitative feedbacks on the new face-to-face handover model](image)

**Fig. 4. Qualitative feedbacks on the new face-to-face handover model.**

### VI. LIMITATIONS
- Lack of an objective measure of workload. IT were unable to track bleep frequency via switchboard, so it was necessary to self-monitor which is less accurate. It was also difficult to track the distribution of jobs between SHO and RMO during the intervention period.
- Surveys were subjective limited by the people who chose to respond.
- The RMO shift does not overlap with night-time handover.
- Lack of senior leadership was identified as a factor that could contribute to impaired handover efficiency and to not being able to sustain the change.

### VII. FUTURE DIRECTION AND CONCLUSION

Front-loading of jobs at the beginning of shift allowed the SHOs to prioritize jobs effectively. The addition of an RMO to the ward cover from 5-8 pm has improved workload. Day team doctors are now able to engage in undistracted handover which has improved confidence in patient safety.

This QI has been an exercise in communication with peers, consultants, and administration staff in order to cause a necessary change in protocol. The new handover system was proven to improve workload which ensures patient safety out of hours.

This shows that SHOs can effect meaningful change in the workplace: PSDA cycling provides the weight and evidence base to effect a bottom-up change that is sustained because it is wanted by the people who are implementing it. This Quality Improvement project continues to grow with the involvement of trainee-ACPs and registrars to continue to moderate and lead 5 pm handover in line with BMA guidance.
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